

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
10 **AT TACOMA**

11 MICHAEL HOLMBERG,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ELDON VAIL, RONALD VAN
15 BOENING, HAROLD CLARKE,
16 JAMES THATCHER, DANIEL
17 FITZPATRICK and FRANKLIN
18 FINDLEY.

19 Defendants.

NO. C08-5775 RJB/KLS

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION TO
RESPOND TO MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

20 Before the court is Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file his response to
21 Defendants' motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 86. Defendants oppose the motion. Dkt.
22 87. Having considered the motion, and balance of the record, the court finds that Plaintiff's
23 motion for a seven day extension of time to file his response should be granted. Plaintiff's
24 response was due on August 19, 2010. Dkt. 83. Plaintiff filed his response on August 26,
25 2010. Dkt. 88. Plaintiff may not file any more papers in response to Defendants' motion for
26 summary judgment.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED:**

(1) Plaintiff's motion for extension of time (Dkt. 86) is **GRANTED.**

1 (2) The Clerk shall **re-note** Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 74)
2 is **for September 17, 2010**. Defendants may file a reply **on or before September 17, 2010**.

3 (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for
4 Defendants.

5
6 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2010.

7
8 
9 Karen L. Strombom
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26